



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/761,742	01/08/2001	Makoto Kayashima	566.39530X00	6168
24956	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ZIA, SYED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	_
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		KAYASHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/761,742 Examiner					
		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Syed Zia	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	our or the devel and twitte	ino don dopondondo dadredo				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS  cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	anuary 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ication No				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	Ç				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T 1-4 0	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
- apor 140(5)/191011 Dato						

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to preliminary amendment filed on January 14, 2005.
 Original application contained Claims 1-13. Applicant previously cancelled Claims 8-13.
 Applicant currently did not amend any claim. Therefore, Claims 1-7 are pending for consideration and examination.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments January 14, 2005have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Regarding Claims 1, 7, 14, and 18 applicants argued that the cited prior art (CPA) [Wiegel et al. (U. S. Patent 6,484,261)] does not discloses where the security status of the managed system of an information... are implementing the security policy", and also does not teach "by executing the control program and/or the audit program corresponding to the user designated managed device ... management device is performed".

This is not found persuasive. The system of Wiegel et al. teaches and describes a system and method of computer network device control method involves using set of instructions to cause network devices to selectively pass or reject messages according to defined network security policy. In the cited prior art a set of instructions that is generated based on a symbolic

representation of a network security policy, comprises the steps of generating a source script that defines the policy. The set of instructions causes the network device to selectively pass or reject the messages according to the policy. The source script is displayed in a window of the user interface. Therefore, cited prior art teaches a method for computer network security policy establishment for associating network security policy with computer network device.

Thus, the system of cited prior art teaches a system and method for controlling computer network devices such as switches and routers, and allows the administrators of large, multi-firewall, multi-location organizations to develop, apply and maintain security policies that consistently to protect the organization's informational resources (col.7 line 12 to col.10 line 11, and col.14 line 61 to col.16 line 34)

Applicants clearly have failed to explicitly identify specific claim limitations, which would define a patentable distinction over prior arts. The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. The examiner will not interpret to read narrowly the claim language to read exactly from the specification, but will interpret the claim language in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the system of cited prior arts does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims and in subsequent dependent Claims. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-7 are respectfully maintained.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 4

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wiegel (U. S. Patent 6,484,261).

2. Regarding Claim 1 Wiegel teaches and describes a security management system for controlling a security status of each of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system in accordance with an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure (Fig.1-4), comprising:

a plurality of management sections corresponding to at least one managed system and the information security policy, each management section being for controlling the security status of the managed system corresponding thereto so as to adjust the security status to the information security policy corresponding thereto (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26);

a database registering a correspondence of the information security policy, the managed system and each management section (col.14 line 4 to line 61);

a security content reception section for receiving a selection of a range of the information

Art Unit: 2131

security policy and the managed system from a user, and an extraction section for extracting from said database the management section registered so as to correspond to the information security policy and the managed system included in the range in which said security content reception section has received the selection (col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41); and

a management control section for allowing the management section extracted by said extraction section to change the security status of the managed system corresponding to the management section so as to adjust to the information security policy corresponding to the management section (col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34).

3. Regarding Claim 2 Wiegel teaches and describes security a management system for auditing a security status of each of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system, the security status concerning an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure (Fig. 1-4), comprising:

a plurality of audit sections corresponding to at least one managed system and at least one information security policy, each audit section being for auditing the security status concerning the corresponding information security policy of the corresponding managed system (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26);

a database registering a correspondence of the information security policy, the managed system and the audit section (col.14 line 4 to line 61);

a security content reception section for receiving a selection of a range of the information security policy and the managed system from the user, and an extraction section for extracting from said database the audit section registered so as to correspond to the information security

Art Unit: 2131

policy and the managed system included in the range in which said security content reception section has received the selection (col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41, and col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34); and

an audit control section for allowing the audit section extracted by said extraction section to audit the security status concerning the information security policy of the managed system corresponding to the audit section (col.12 line 61 to col.13 line 67).

4. Regarding Claim 3 Wiegel teaches and describes a security management system for controlling a security status of each of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system in 4 accordance with an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure (Fig.1-4), comprising:

a plurality of management sections corresponding to at least one managed system and at least one information security policy, each management section being for controlling the security status of the corresponding managed system so as to adjust the security state to the corresponding information security policy, and a plurality of audit sections corresponding to at least one managed system and at least one information security policy, each audit section being for auditing the security status concerning the corresponding information security policy of the corresponding managed system (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26);

a database registering a correspondence of the information security policy, the managed system, the management section and the audit section (col.14 line 4 to line 61);

a security content reception section for receiving a selection of a range of the information

Art Unit: 2131

security policy and the managed system from a user, and an extraction section for extracting from said database the management section and the audit section, which are registered so as to correspond to the information security policy and the managed system included in the range in which said security content reception section has received the selection (col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41);

-a management control section for allowing the management section extracted by said extraction section to change the security status of the managed system corresponding to the management section so as to adjust to the information security policy corresponding to the management section (col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34); and

an audit control section for allowing the audit section extracted by said extraction section to audit the security status concerning the information security policy of the managed system corresponding to said audit section (col.12 line 61 to col.13 line 67).

5. Regarding Claim 4 Wiegel teaches and describes a security management method for controlling a security status of each of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system with an electronic computer in accordance with an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure (Fig. 1-4), comprising the steps of:

receiving a selection of a range of the information security policy and the managed system from a user, and extracting a management program corresponding to an information security policy and a managed system, included in the range in which the selection has been received, among a plurality of management programs describing a processing for controlling the security status of the corresponding managed system so as to adjust the security status to the

Art Unit: 2131

corresponding information security policy, the plurality of management programs corresponding to at least one information security policy and at least one managed system, which are previously stored (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26, and col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41, and col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34); and

allowing the electronic computer to execute the extracted management program and to change the security status of the managed system corresponding to the management program so that the security status thereof is adjusted to the information security policy corresponding to the management program (col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34, and col.12 line 61 to col.13 line 67).

6. Regarding Claim 5 Wiegel teaches and describes a security management method for auditing, with an electronic computer, a security status of each of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system, the security status concerning an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure (Fig. 1-4), comprising the steps of:

receiving a range of a selection of the information security policy and the managed system from a user, and extracting an audit program registered so as to correspond to the information security policy and the managed system, which are included in the range in which the selection has been received, among a plurality of audit programs describing a processing for auditing the security status concerning the corresponding information security policy of the corresponding managed system, the plurality of audit programs corresponding to at least one information security policy and at least one managed system, which are previously stored (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26, and col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41, and col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34); and allowing the electronic computer to execute the extracted audit program and to audit

Art Unit: 2131

the security status of the managed system corresponding to the audit program the security status concerning the information security policy corresponding to the audit program (col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34, and col.12 line 61 to col.13 line 67).

Regarding Claim 6 Wiegel teaches and describes storage medium storing a program for controlling a security status of each of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system in accordance with an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure, wherein said program is read out and executed by an electronic computer, to construct, on said electronic computer, a security content reception section for receiving a selection of a range of the information security policy and the managed system from a user (Fig. 1-4, and col.10 line 44 to col.12line 2);

an extraction section for extracting a management program corresponding to an information security policy and a managed system, which are included in the range in which said security content reception section has received the selection, from a database storing a plurality of management programs describing a processing for controlling the security status of the corresponding managed system so as to adjust the security status of the managed system to the corresponding information security policy, the plurality of management programs corresponding at least one managed system and at least one information security policy (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26, and col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41, and col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34); and

a management control section for allowing said electronic computer to execute the management program executed by said extraction section and to change the security status of the managed system corresponding to the extracted management program so as to adjust the security

Art Unit: 2131

Application/Control Number: 09/761,742

status to the information security policy corresponding to the extracted management program (col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34, and col.12 line 61 to col.13 line 67).

8. Regarding Claim 7 Wiegel teaches and describes a storage medium storing a program for auditing a security status concerning an information security policy representing a policy of a security measure of a plurality of managed systems constituting an information system (Fig. 1-4, col. 10 line 44 to col. 12 line 2), wherein

said program is read out and executed by an electronic computer, to construct, on said electronic computer, a security content reception section for receiving a selection of a range of the information security policy and the managed system from a user; an extraction section for extracting an audit program registered so as to correspond to an information security policy and a managed system, which are included in the range in which said security content reception section has received the selection, from a database storing a plurality of audit programs describing a processing for auditing the security status concerning the corresponding information security policy of the corresponding managed system, the plurality of audit programs corresponding to at least one managed system and at least one information security policy (col.7 line 12 to col.8 line 26, col.8 line 66 to col.10 line 41, and col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34); and

an audit control section for allowing the electronic computer to execute the audit program extracted by said extraction section and to audit the security status concerning the Information security policy corresponding to the audit program of the managed system corresponding to the audit program (col.14 line 62 to col.16 line 34, and col.12 line 61 to col.13 line 67).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 571-272-3798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,742 Page 12

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sz April 21, 2005

SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100